

Drowning in the Mainstream



The Aggregator Trap:
Aggregators just push mainstream taste based on popularity.

The Input:
488 AI stories a day
(arXiv, X, Tech Press)

The Output:
~15 stories that
actually matter.

Insight: Aggregators solve ranking.
We need explicit editorial filtering.

Scoring News Like an Essay



The HKR Framework

	H	H — Hook: Suspense, twist, or a new angle?	
	K	K — Knowledge: A new mechanism, number, or claim?	
	R	R — Resonance: Hits a nerve? Would you share it?	

Explainable Tiers

Featured Story



H 

K 

R 

- **Featured:** Hits 2/3 axes
- **P1:** Hits all 3 (Score ≥ 85)
- **All:** Hits 1/3
- **Excluded:** 0/3 or pure marketing


The Glass Box: Policy as Markdown

The Old Way



The Prompt Problem:
Prompts evaporate, hide changes,
and cannot be diffed line-by-line.

The Innovation



The screenshot shows a code editor window titled 'editorial.skill.md (600 lines)'. It displays a diff view with line numbers on the left and code on the right. The code is a markdown file containing scoring rules. The diff highlights changes with green and red backgrounds. A green highlight covers lines 3-6, showing additions of a 'Scoring' section. A red highlight covers lines 7-9, showing deletions of a 'Scoring' section. Other lines show minor changes and formatting.

...	@@ -2,3 +,10 @9
1	1 + 2 for substantive Annropic update
2	2
3	3 + ```markdown
4	4 + ### Scoring
5	5 ++ 3 for substantive Anthropic update
6	6 + ```
7	7
8	8 - ```markdown
9	9 - ### Scoring
10	10 -- 1 for generic LLM news
11	11 - ```
12	12
13	13 ```markdown
14	14 ## Policy a Linn model are higher three tips


The Markdown Solution:
Explicit policy separates human editorial
judgment from LLM scoring execution.

The Rule: Tell the model exactly what matters
(e.g., "+3 for substantive Anthropic update" or "0 for pure marketing").

Waa!



The AI Deputy Editor



The Guardrail:
Agent explicitly lists what it did NOT change, and why.

The Crucial Boundary: The Agent is the Deputy, not the Editor-in-Chief. Final approval remains human.

Intuition as a Versioned Artifact



Genealogy Tree

Policy v1

Policy v2

Policy v3

The Old Way:

'Gut feel' is un-diffable, unsharable, and impossible to debug.

The Paradigm Shift:

A PM's taste or an editor's nose is no longer magic. It is a **versionable file**.

Takeaway: Professional judgment should always be readable, editable, and rollback-able. Send a **commit diff**, not an example.